nature microbiology

Perspective

Community standards and future opportunities for synthetic communities in plant–microbiota research

Received: 30 October 2023

Accepted: 16 September 2024

Published online: 30 October 2024

Check for updates

Trent R. Northen ^{1,2} , Manuel Kleiner ³, Marta Torres ¹, Ákos T. Kovács ^{4,5}, Mette Haubjerg Nicolaisen⁶, Dorota M. Krzyżanowska ⁷, Shilpi Sharma⁸, George Lund ⁹, Lars Jelsbak ⁵, Oliver Baars¹⁰, Nikolaj Lunding Kindtler¹¹, Kathrin Wippel¹², Caja Dinesen^{4,5}, Jessica A. Ferrarezi ¹³, Malek Marian ¹⁴, Adele Pioppi^{4,5}, Xinming Xu ⁴, Tonni Andersen^{15,16}, Niko Geldner¹⁷, Paul Schulze-Lefert ^{15,16}, Julia A. Vorholt ¹⁸ & Ruben Garrido-Oter ^{15,16,19}

Harnessing beneficial microorganisms is seen as a promising approach to enhance sustainable agriculture production. Synthetic communities (SynComs) are increasingly being used to study relevant microbial activities and interactions with the plant host. Yet, the lack of community standards limits the efficiency and progress in this important area of research. To address this gap, we recommend three actions: (1) defining reference SynComs; (2) establishing community standards, protocols and benchmark data for constructing and using SynComs; and (3) creating an infrastructure for sharing strains and data. We also outline opportunities to develop SynCom research through technical advances, linking to field studies, and filling taxonomic blind spots to move towards fully representative SynComs.

Land plants have evolved in the presence of complex environmental microbial communities for over 500 million years. By providing organic carbon compounds derived from photosynthesis, plants can enrich a subset of these microbes from the surrounding environment. The resulting communities, known as the plant microbiota, provide the host with beneficial functions, such as nutrient mobilization or protection against pathogens^{1,2}. Addressing open questions within the field to better understand the molecular, genetic and ecological mechanisms

that govern these interactions is pivotal for advancing sustainable agriculture and ecosystem health (Box 1). As we progressively gain insights into these intricate relationships³, reductionist experimental approaches have emerged as valuable tools⁴. Perhaps the most promising approach centres around assemblies of isolated bacteria and fungi, which can be used to generate synthetic microbial communities of reduced complexity, or SynComs (Fig. 1). By simplifying the vast complexity of natural microbial communities, SynComs offer a controlled

¹Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA. ²DOE Joint Genome Institute, Berkeley, CA, USA. ³Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA. ⁴Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁵DTU Bioengineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark. ⁶Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷Intercollegiate Faculty of Biotechnology UG&MUG, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland. ⁸Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India. ⁹Sustainable Soils and Crops, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK. ¹⁰Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA. ¹¹Terrestrial Ecology Section, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹²Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ¹³Department of Genetics, Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil. ¹⁴Center for Agriculture Food Environment, University of Trento, San Michele all'Adige, Trento, Italy. ¹⁵Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Cologne, Germany. ¹⁶Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), Düsseldorf, Germany. ¹⁷Department of Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹⁸Institute of Microbiology, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ¹⁹Earlham Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK. <u>Ke-mail: TRNorthen@lbl.gov; garridoo@mpipz.mpg.de</u>

BOX 1

Open questions in plant– microbiome research that can be addressed using SynComs

- How can we manipulate plant-microbe interactions to improve crop yields, resilience and soil carbon pools?
- How do plants distinguish between beneficial and harmful microbes?
- Do plants communicate and coordinate with beneficial microbes?
- Which plant and microbial genes control root colonization?
- Which molecules drive assembly and other ecological processes in the plant microbiome?
- How are microbial communities functionally structured, for example, into guilds?

approach to dissect and study the interactions between plants and their associated microbes, providing a bridge between established plant-microbe interactions research, the developing field of 'mechanistic ecology', and applied agricultural practices. As the field of plant microbiota research matures (Fig. 1), there is a need to develop a set of community standards and protocols for assembling and employing SynComs. Adopting such standards can enhance the reproducibility of experiments, foster broader community engagement and catalyse collaborative endeavours.

Designing and employing SynComs to study the mechanistic ecology of plant-associated microbiota and their emerging properties involves three steps: isolation of strains and establishment of culture collections (Fig. 2a)⁵⁻¹¹; the design of simplified communities with representative taxa or functions (Fig. 2b); the formulation of strain mixtures into input inocula and deployment using appropriate gnotobiotic systems (Fig. 2c)¹². At every step, the establishment of community standards and resources that can be shared between laboratories ensures reproducibility, increases tractability and, at the same time, improves the complexity and versatility of experimental systems. For example, adoption of model SynComs has the potential for generating large quantities of scientific knowledge and creating compatible resources (such as mutant libraries^{13,14}, reference databases¹⁵ or computational models¹⁶), and can also improve the ease of adoption by new laboratories. Moreover, adoption of community standards is not without risk: a model SynCom might not be the optimal tool to explore a given scientific question, and even simple gnotobiotic experiments can be technically challenging, especially given reasonable quality checks that may require considerable cost and effort. In the following we outline what we consider to be the key aspects required to establish a set of community standards for plant microbiota research using SynComs.

Importantly, the next stage of plant microbiota research employing reductionist approaches demands tools and methodologies that can capture interaction outcomes at both the macro and micro scales. Technical innovations, such as metagenomic plot sampling by sequencing (MaPS-seq)¹⁷ and spatial host-microbiome sequencing (SHM-seq)¹⁸ are just a few examples of the emerging tools poised to address these challenges. Throughout this Perspective we discuss these developments, emphasizing the transformative potential of adopting community standards in SynCom research, and introduce the technical breakthroughs to deepen our understanding of plantmicrobe interplay. Although some researchers are already benefiting from rich culture collections and specialized SynCom resources, the establishment of reference field sites, universally accessible culture collections and public isolate repositories can further democratize access. This would also ensure that biological and bioinformatic resources can be repurposed and are available to the wider scientific community according to the FAIR principles (findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable)⁴². Standards for metadata collection and reporting can improve the process of replicating, validating and/or building upon existing research, thereby accelerating progress and amplifying collaborative potential.

Establishing microbial culture collections to build SynComs

Microbial culture collections provide the resources required for designing and assembling SynComs. They are typically derived from plants harvested from one or more natural sites or grown on natural soil under controlled greenhouse conditions. Ideally, they should capture a substantial fraction of the complexity and diversity of the natural communities, and incorporate the functional and taxonomic redundancy of their isolates (Fig. 2a). This can be achieved by coupling SynCom development with long-term field experiments (such as Broadbalk at Rothamsted Research) to help translate laboratory and field findings, especially sites that are widely accessible for performing field studies and for recovering isolates¹⁹. Culture collections include bacteria as well as eukaryotic microbes such as fungi and oomycetes. Importantly, natural communities are shaped to a large degree by microbial interactions, either antagonistic or beneficial, and experiments with SynComs can be used to identify key interactions and 'keystone' species (for example, using drop-out experiments)^{20,21}. Culture collections should also be accompanied by the corresponding metadata that are required for SynCom design. These data must include information regarding the original biological material (host species or genotype, developmental stage, disease status and so on) and the corresponding sites of isolation (location, soil properties and other relevant environmental factors). Importantly, data characterizing the natural communities from which the collections are established (typically community composition profiles derived from amplicon sequencing) are essential to design SynComs that maximize taxonomic diversity and are taxonomically representative. Although not currently the standard, it would also be desirable to include information on the functional properties of the natural communities, such as meta-transcriptome or metabolome datasets, to enable the design of SynComs with comparable functional properties²². Finally, microbial culture collections can also include the genomic and phenotypic data of isolates, which can be used to generate in silico metabolic models^{16,23}, predict potential functions and study microbial genome evolution²⁴. These genomic databases can also be used to improve the accuracy and resolution of various methods for characterizing SynCom outputs, for instance by providing a reference for amplicon or transcriptome data analyses²⁵.

The field of mammalian gut microbiome research also has a long history of defining model SynComs, including the eight-strain altered Schaedler flora from mice, the 12-strain oligo-mouse-microbiota, a minimal 15-strain mouse gut community and, most recently, a 104-strain human gut community (hCom1). Although most bacterial strains that constitute these communities are individually available at centres such as the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) or DSMZ, there is generally a lack of standardized SynCom resources. There are, however, multiple opportunities to learn from this research; for example, depending on the scientific goals, it may be necessary to use more diverse and complex communities, and a lack of standardized collections within public biobanks is an impediment for research with SynComs.

Collections of environmental isolates are only the starting point for SynCom research. As the field matures and reductionist approaches based on engineered communities and gnotobiotic systems progress,







Fig. 2 | **Defining standards for SynCom design and assembly using microbial culture collections. a**-**c**, There are three major steps in developing a SynCom, from microbial isolation to assembly. First, community members are isolated from environmental samples using high-throughput methods, establishing sequence-indexed culture collections (a). Afterwards, SynComs are designed by considering taxonomic, functional and ecological information (**b**). Finally,

SynComs are assembled from individual bacterial stocks using standardized laboratory protocols (c). OD, optical density. To move the field forward, public biobanks should support large-scale deposition, quality control, redistribution and affordable access to entire collections and SynComs, and best practices for SynCom design should balance simplicity, reproducibility and throughput with more complex, diverse SynComs.

it will increasingly leverage genetic manipulation or engineering to provide mechanistic insights to address questions such as those listed in Box 1. Consequently, novel genetic resources will be generated by research groups, which can ideally be reused and combined, geometrically increasing their usefulness and potential. Examples of such resources include knockout mutants of specific genes or entire mutant libraries that enable high-throughput forward genetic screens (discussed in more detail in the following), fluorescently labelled strains that can be used for spatiotemporal tracing using imaging approaches, and genetically engineered microbes equipped with novel functions²⁶. We believe that coordinating ongoing and future efforts into the generation of compatible resources that can be shared and used for reproducible experimentation is a key aspect in the future development of the field.

Public biobanks for microbial culture collections and derived biological resources

An essential aspect of establishing sequence-indexed microbial culture collections and enabling SynCom research is the need to deposit isolates in public repositories of biological material or biobanks (Fig. 2a). Ideally, this is complemented by the conservation and storage of the whole community, for example, root and rhizosphere samples, as current microbiota culture collections suffer from taxonomic blind spots. This is required to ensure the reproducibility of research and to capitalize on the potential that these large repositories of microbial diversity can provide for fundamental as well as translational research. In addition to enabling reproducible studies across laboratories, these collections will help expand the number and diversity of scientists participating in SynCom research through easy access to strains.

Unfortunately, ensuring the highest standards of purity and integrity in these large collections of environmental isolates while accommodating international legislation regulating the exchange of such material (for instance, the Nagoya Protocol) can pose great logistical challenges²⁷. Because of this, most existing institutions and facilities that traditionally act as repositories of microbial cultures are limited in their capacity to receive, curate and subsequently redistribute microbial culture collections. In addition, existing protocols for determining risk groups of microbial isolates often require taxonomic classification or phenotypic characterization without systematically relying on sequencing data, which is often not compatible with the high throughput and large number of isolates that typically characterize these collections.

We believe it is crucial that new protocols and standards are developed, specifically for the deposition and distribution of large culture collections, concurrent with the substantial increases in the pace and scale with which the research community can generate and take advantage of these resources. Given the diversity of plant host species used in SynCom research, our vision is that early successes in creating shared SynCom resources by one community will serve as a blueprint for the development of other host systems. Most importantly, there needs to be increased funding for public biobanks, and this should include the development and application of high-throughput isolation, culturing and characterization of microbial strains needed to develop SynComs for diverse plant species, including key crops in developing countries. We envision publicly available strain collections for plant hosts, for example by building on the framework recently described for the Human Intestinal Bacterial Collection (https://hibc.rwth-aachen.de/) to integrate persistent strain identifiers, culture conditions, taxonomy, data and other metadata²⁸.

Standardized methods for designing and working with SynComs

One of the central challenges of research with SynComs is designing communities that include ecological or functional properties and interactions relevant to the research question being explored. Usually, this requires balancing a trade-off between complexity and tractability. Working with large and complex SynComs is technically demanding and can impact reproducibility and statistical power, but they can better represent the complexity of the natural communities from which they are inspired. Moreover, small and tractable SynComs may have lower taxonomic and functional diversity but can be more easily and reproducibly assembled (Fig. 2). They can also enable different types of experiment, such as extensive permutations of community composition and comprehensive meta-omics measurements of most, if not all community members^{4,29,30}.

Ideally, SynCom design should combine ecological, genomic and physiological data, with the goal of capturing as many fundamental features of natural communities as possible (Fig. 2b). For example, to maximize taxonomic coverage, it is common to include at least one representative strain from microbial taxa found to be abundant and/or prevalent in natural communities, whenever available in the corresponding culture collections. Increasingly, microbiome scientists are looking to capture key ecological processes, and this can be accomplished through a variety of methodological approaches, as described by Mehlferber and colleagues, also in this issue³¹. A simple example is including community members with disproportionally positive or negative interactions with other microbes (for example, keystone species). Alternatively, the composition of the SynCom can be fine-tuned by considering genomic or phenotypic data available for individual strains, to design communities with specific genomic or functional characteristics. It is important to consider that, for industrial applications, there is the additional consideration that large-scale fermentation of microbes for agricultural use involves complex and costly fermentation processes that need to be tailored for each microbe. The absence of experience in multi-species fermentation of SynComs, coupled with the prohibitive expense of culturing each strain separately, poses substantial industrial challenges to the large-scale application of SynComs.

In general, the primary goal of SynCom design is to capture the relevant properties of the natural communities while maintaining tractability, but identifying the most relevant criteria can be challenging and highly context-dependent. Although we suggest that SynCom composition should attempt to mirror the corresponding natural community as closely as possible, different research questions and experimental set-ups will require an independent assessment on a case-by-case basis. For example, a SynCom may be required to have specific functions such as nutrient mobilization or enhanced plant stress resilience. In these cases, artificial selection can provide a powerful tool for informing SynCom design³²⁻³⁴, for example, through sequential transplantation and the selection of microbial communities that promote plant-stress tolerance³². Given the prevalence of neutral ecological processes and the high diversity of many natural plant-associated communities, it will be important to validate that the experimental approaches for selecting community members have accurately captured the processes of interest³¹.

Another consideration in SynCom design is how community outputs will be measured. Although cultivation-independent approaches such as amplicon sequencing or meta-omics can be applied to most if not all experiments, some limitations need to be considered. For instance, it might be desirable to include strain variation within species within SynComs, in which case identical amplicon sequences could result in a loss of resolution. A way to overcome this limitation is the use of modular bacterial tags (MoBacTags), or chromosomally integrated artificial barcoding sequences, which allow simultaneous tracking of near-isogenic bacterial strains within a community using amplicon sequencing^{35,36}. In some cases it may also be desired to determine microbial abundances in absolute terms (that is, cells per gram of biomass). This could be done for small SynComs using a set of selective media and colony morphologies that allows all microbial species to be distinguished when plated after harvest from plants³⁰. For larger SynComs, absolute abundance data can also be generated by employing microbial isolate-specific quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)³⁷ or spike-ins³⁸.

The next step after SynCom design includes assembling the input communities from clonal isolates (Fig. 2c). Preparation of the input can be a demanding step, where multiple technical factors can play

an important role in the outcome of the experiment. Such factors include the choice of media, target nutrient and microbial concentrations, the growth stage of constituent isolates, and so on. Currently, there is a lack of community standards and shared protocols for input preparation³⁹, which we identify as an important need to be addressed by researchers in the near future. Importantly, developing a series of internal standards that can be used for quality control, validation and cross-laboratory comparison will be crucial to establish a baseline for reproducibility and benchmarking. A promising alternative approach is the preparation of standardized frozen or lyophilized SynCom inocula that can be shared between laboratories or generated and distributed by a central biobank^{40,41}. Further development and thorough testing of this approach, as well as the necessary infrastructure for bulk and reproducible generation and distribution of frozen SynCom stocks, will be required to make this approach feasible for most research groups. Despite these challenges, such efforts will increase accessibility and lower entry costs for new research groups, while enhancing the comparability of results will present a valuable opportunity for the research field.

Defined minimum metadata standards for depositing SynCom data in public repositories

In addition to relevant data such as physiological properties, genome information and plant colonization attributes that must accompany microbial culture collections, the output of SynCom experiments can provide a wealth of information that can be shared, reused and integrated to provide added value. Among other advantages, deposition and sharing of standardized data has the potential to enable the use of machine-learning approaches by increasing the information and number of available data points. To accomplish this, output sequencing data (for instance, in the form of amplicon community composition profiles) is not sufficient, and additional metadata are required. Such information includes, for example, the identity of the isolates employed in the experiments (and corresponding links to their genomic information and their biological material, deposited in public biobanks), the composition of the input SynCom, as well as information regarding the gnotobiotic system, culture conditions and microhabitat host genotype, to name a few. We suggest that this challenge requires the establishment of a new set of minimum metadata standards, specifically tailored to SynCom datasets to improve replicability and reproducibility, and facilitate sharing and the cross-referencing of results across studies. Ideally, such standards should be the result of joint efforts involving leading data repositories for environmental biomedical research (for example, NCBI, ENA, MDC, KBase and EBI, among others)42.

Technologies to develop and study representative SynComs

SynComs are the most widely used approach for gaining mechanistic insights into plant-associated microbiomes. However, there are several technical gaps that need to be overcome to achieve the scientific potential of SynComs; for example, to help address open questions in plant-microbiome research (Box 1). These include technologies to isolate representative strains, characterize SynComs and their activities at relevant spatiotemporal scales, and to discover new gene functions (Table 1).

High-throughput methods for isolation

Despite recent advances in the isolation and characterization of representatives of plant microbiota, critical taxonomic gaps remain in current culture collections. Fortunately, emerging technologies can be used to obtain isolates from taxonomic and functional groups recalcitrant to culturing and to study their interactions under relevant conditions. For example, the use of single-cell sequencing and the data from metagenome-assembled genomes in combination with metabolic

Table 1 | Examples of emerging technologies for characterizing plant-associated SynComs

Technology	Capabilities	Limitations
Sterile lab chambers for studying SynComs ^{9,80,81,83}	Gnotobiotic systems for studying plant-microbiota interactions	Currently limited to small plants and not designed to mimic natural plant environments
Metatranscriptomics including single-cell approaches ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹	Determine changes in transcription levels of genes	Transcription is not necessarily equal to gene expression
Metabolomics ⁸⁵	Determine identities and quantities of metabolites	Assignment of identity to specific detected masses can be difficult
Metaproteomics ⁵⁴	Identify and quantify thousands of proteins and detect gene expression changes	Difficult to detect proteins from low abundant species due to interference from abundant plant proteins
SIP ⁵⁷⁵⁸	Detect substrate incorporation into biomolecules	Cost of substrates and difficulty of using gaseous substrates
Fluorescence in situ hybridization techniques ^{50-52,61}	Localization of specific strains with cellular and subcellular resolution	Probe development and staining approaches can be challenging
Spatial metagenomic and meta-transcriptomic sequencing ¹⁷⁸⁶	Localization of microbes, genes and gene expression	Relatively high cost and technically challenging to implement
Mass spectrometry and Raman imaging ^{52,60,87}	Imaging metabolites, proteins and isotopes	Limited ability to identify compounds
RB-TnSeq ^{13,74}	High-throughput gene functional annotation	Microbes need to be transformable
Robotic cultivation, metagenome guided isolation and cultivation ⁴⁵	Filling taxonomic blind spots in SynComs	Remains challenging to isolate many important groups of plant-associated organisms

SIP, stable-isotope probing; RB-TnSeq, random bar code transposon-site sequencing.

models will enable the prediction of isolation conditions⁴³. Another related and powerful approach is using single amplified genomes and metagenome-assembled genomes to predict membrane proteins and design epitopes for targeted isolation using cell sorting⁴⁴. We anticipate that machine-learning and automation methods such as those developed for human microbiomes will accelerate isolation and characterization. For example, this could be done by extending recently reported methods integrating robotics, imaging, sequencing and machine learning that enabled the isolation of more than 80% of the abundant taxa in a set of faecal samples⁴⁵.

Spatial characterization of SynComs

To characterize SynComs, we need to move beyond inferring relationships based on amplicon abundances from bulk samples to include spatial information on microbes, the plant host and environmental parameters. We need technologies to measure interactions at relevant length and time scales to determine microbial localization, interactions and activities.

Fortunately, there are several new technologies that hold considerable promise in this area (Table 1). One such technique is metagenomic plot sampling by sequencing (MaPS-seq), which embeds microbiome samples in a polymeric matrix, which is then fractured using cryo-bead beating¹⁷. The resulting particles are lysed, sized and encapsulated in droplets containing barcoded beads. The barcodes are photocleaved and the genomic DNA is released by degrading the polymer matrix for subsequent PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene and shotgun sequencing to identify colocalized organisms. Spatial host-microbiome sequencing (SHM-seq) is another technique using DNA barcoded probes, in this case immobilized on a glass slide. This allows simultaneous capturing of the polyadenylated (host) transcripts and 16S rRNA from frozen tissue sections deposited on the slide¹⁸. The related technique spatial metatranscriptomics (SmT) has been developed specifically for studying plant-bacterial-fungal interactions⁴⁶. Recent work shows that using metatranscriptomics in combination with meta-ribosomal sequencing⁴⁷ can accurately predict competition and responses to substrate additions. Single-cell sorting and transcriptomic sequencing has been used to classify cells based on their patterns of gene expression and has great potential for studying host responses to SynComs^{48,49}.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques⁵⁰ will continue to provide important tools for investigating SynCom structure and dynamics (Table 1). For example, SEER-FISH (sequential error-robust FISH)⁵¹ now enables accurate taxonomic identification in complex communities in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* rhizoplane with single-cell resolution, enabling the investigation of shifts in microbial community in response to plant secondary metabolites. A related technique, SRS-FISH (Raman scattering-two-photon FISH)⁵² can detect metabolically active bacterial cells with single-cell resolution. Nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) stable isotope probing is another powerful technique for localizing activities with subcellular resolution⁵³.

Determining substrate uptake, metabolic handoffs and activity

To understand the mechanisms leading to emergent properties of plant-associated microbiota and their reciprocal interactions with the plant, it is critical to be able to study the actual metabolism, physiology, activities and metabolic interactions in these communities. Metaproteomics, metatranscriptomics and metabolomics can be used to measure microbial phenotypes at the molecular level and are particularly powerful when using SynComs (Table 1)^{54,55}. Metabolomics is usually challenged by the fact that metabolites cannot be assigned to specific species. Therefore, the ability to drop-in and drop-out specific community members from the SynCom can allow the identification of microbial species that are responsible for specific host interactions, for example, plant hormone production⁵⁶. Similarly, stable isotope probing (SIP) approaches can quantify substrate conversion into biomass by specific species. SIP can also provide evidence for substrate transfer when paired with pulse-chase experiments and/or drop-in/drop-out of specific SynCom species. Substrate uptake or transfer can be detected using different biomolecules that can be assigned to specific microbial species, such as DNA, RNA, protein, metabolites and lipids (DNA-SIP, protein-SIP and so on) as long as relevant labelled substrates can be purchased or be generated in the laboratory⁵⁷. For plant-microbe interactions, simple substrates such as ¹³CO₂, ¹⁵N₂ or ¹⁵NH₄ are often used to follow nutrient transfer. This has, for example, been used to show the transfer of plant-fixed CO₂ to plant-associated arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and subsequently to microorganisms associated with the fungal hyphae⁵⁸. Additionally, SIP approaches allow the detection of substrate-independent changes in microbial activities in response to changes in temperature, pH and lighting and using heavy water (H₂¹⁸O or D_2O) as a general activity labelling method⁵⁹.

Emerging techniques can be used to image metabolites and proteins at single-cell resolution⁶⁰ and even subcellular resolution (Table 1)⁶¹. These approaches can complement data on SynCom localization and gene expression; for example, metabolites can be localized at the root-soil interface^{62,63}, within root nodules⁶⁴ or leaf surfaces⁶⁵. The integration of spatial metabolomics with FISH (metaFISH⁶⁶) by imaging the same tissue sections, first by mass spectrometry (AP-MALDI-MS) and then by FISH, has enabled bacterial submetabolomes to be determined with 3- μ m resolution. Another example is the spatial proteomics method, nanoPOTS (nanodroplet processing in one pot for trace samples), which has been used to identify 2,000 proteins with 100- μ m spatial resolution from tissue sections⁶⁷. A powerful aspect of these and other MS-based imaging methods is the possibility to integrate these approaches with stable isotopes to study the localization and even rates of biochemical processes⁶⁸.

In addition, previous research exploring natural variation in root exudates of the *Arabidopsis* multiparent advanced generation inter-cross (MAGIC) population⁶⁹ could be extended to include SynCom interactions. This strategy, coupled with the availability of recombinant inbred lines in crops such as maize⁷⁰, presents a promising avenue for high-resolution quantitative trait locus (QTL, the statistical linkage between phenotypes and genotypes) mapping, offering new insights into the genetic factors influencing SynCom assembly and function.

High-throughput discovery of gene functions

Although we are increasingly able to image gene, transcript, protein and metabolite composition in situ (Table 1), determining their functions is another challenge. There are a vast number of computational approaches for improving annotations. A few recent examples include KBase⁷¹, METABOLIC⁷² and MetaEuk⁷³. These are being complemented by high-throughput genetic techniques, which provide much needed high-throughput methods for determining unknown gene functions for transformable bacteria⁷⁴. RB-TnSeq mutant fitness profiling has been successfully applied to plant-associated bacteria^{13,75}. It uses random DNA barcodes, transposon mutagenesis and DNA sequencing to perform genome-wide fitness assays across thousands of mutants in a single assay. Libraries are made so that there is a single barcode insertion in each mutant and the location is known. The fitness of each mutant is assessed by comparing the relative abundance of the barcodes across culture conditions. Lower barcode abundance under a given condition indicates that a particular gene contributed to fitness under that condition, whereas elevated abundance indicates that the loss of that gene improved fitness. One limitation of this technique is that mutants for essential genes will not survive library construction. Fortunately, Dub-seq⁷⁶ and CRISPRi libraries^{77,78} can also be used to interrogate essential genes. Because many genes presumably only have a function within a relevant ecological context, it is important to have methods for testing gene functions within communities using plant and microbial mutants.

Future perspectives

Using SynComs, we have learned, and continue to learn, about the biology and ecology of plant-microbe interactions. These studies can, for example, shed light on genes and metabolites mediating microbial interactions, which can be extrapolated to natural communities using the technologies described in this Perspective. However, many of the techniques are difficult to deploy in field settings. Thus, bridging the gap between mechanistic studies in the laboratory and native field ecosystems and processes is a major challenge, especially given the high degree of species- and strain-level variation. Constructing SynComs from community-accessible natural field observatories and long-term field experiments will enable the refinement, validation and application of SynComs and use of the derived research results. The rapidly developing modelling capabilities (Fig. 1)^{16,71,79} will enable comparisons between laboratory SynComs and native communities, and will also play a critical role in iteratively refining the performance of SynComs. Inter-laboratory comparison studies will also enable the creation and validation of standardized protocols and reference datasets⁸⁰.

The eventual vision for SynComs is to create complete 'fabricated ecosystems' that enable the control of important environmental variables, microbes and their interactions, and allow spatiotemporal



Fig. 3 | Proposed framework for next-generation SynCom experiments and technologies for plant-associated microbes. New technologies for measuring and modelling microbial community composition and metabolic activities with spatiotemporal resolution will help advance mechanistic ecological and molecular understanding of plant microbiomes. Standardization and improved

access to field-relevant SynCom strains should be complemented with control of other ecological variables to create 'fabricated ecosystems'. The utility and completeness of the systems and knowledge gained will ultimately depend on the ability to accurately understand and predict environmental processes in native communities, by effectively linking SynComs to natural communities.

analysis (Fig. 3). This will enable them to be used for important applications such as developing more sustainable agricultural practices, increasing crop yields, soil carbon sequestration and the development of climate-resilient crops. Such systems should be suitable for larger plant species and even enable long-term ecosystem-level studies (for example, nutrient cycling, plant communities and so on). Critically, these fabricated ecosystems would have sufficient control to enable interventions to elucidate the mechanisms underlying ecological processes. There are now numerous sterile systems that are suitable for studying plant-microbe interactions. These include simple devices such as the flow-pot⁹ and EcoFABs^{81,82}, among many others⁸³. It is important to develop artificial soils that reflect the mineral and organic composition of soils⁸⁴. These would ideally be designed to reflect the soil composition and texture at a reference field site. Longer-term, larger fabricated ecosystems will be needed that more accurately capture field variables (wind, rain, temperature, humidity and so on) and ideally use field sensors to control laboratory ecosystems. Finally, laboratory and digital twin models of field ecosystems would provide a valuable framework for comparing and interpreting results and enabling screening, performance testing and efficacy testing of microbial products in 'closer-to-field' conditions, reducing field-trial costs and providing insights into performance and risks.

The future of sustainable agriculture and ecosystem health hinges on our ability to understand and harness the potential of plant-microbiota interactions. As we have explored in this Perspective, research with SynComs stands at the forefront of this endeavour, offering a controlled and simplified experimental blueprint (Figs. 2 and 3) with which we can study these complex relationships and help address key questions in the field (Box 1). However, as with any emerging field, there are challenges to be addressed and opportunities to be explored. Fortunately, technological advancements are also on the horizon, promising to revolutionize our understanding of the plant microbiota and their interactions with their hosts. From high-resolution temporal and spatial sequencing methods to the establishment of barcoded mutant libraries, the tools at our disposal are becoming increasingly sophisticated. These technologies will allow us to deepen our exploration of the ecological and molecular mechanisms of plant-microbiota interactions, revealing the underlying processes that drive these relationships. Moreover, as we fill taxonomic blind spots and develop methods to study SynCom assembly and dynamics, we move closer to a holistic understanding of these communities.

Technology alone is not sufficient. We need communities of scientists developing common tools and resources. Lack of standardized protocols, methodologies and resources can hinder the reproducibility of experiments and slow the pace of collaborative advancements. By defining reference SynComs, creating internationally funded repositories and infrastructure for the sharing of strains and (meta)data, and establishing benchmark protocols, we can pave the way for more efficient, replicable and collaborative research. Such standards not only ensure the quality and consistency of research but also democratize access to valuable resources, fostering a more dynamic and inclusive scientific community.

In conclusion, the field of SynCom research is at a pivotal juncture. The potential benefits of understanding and harnessing plant-microbe interactions are clear, from boosting agricultural yields to preserving ecosystem robustness and health. However, realizing this potential requires a concerted effort from the scientific community. By establishing community standards, embracing technological advancements, and bridging the gap between the laboratory and the field, we can set the stage for a new phase of mechanistic ecology in plant-microbiota research.

References

- Bulgarelli, D. Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. *Cell Host Microbe* 17, 392–403 (2015).
- 2. Turner, T. R., James, E. K. & Poole, P. S. The plant microbiome. Genome Biol. 14, 209 (2013).
- Geller, A. M. & Levy, A. 'What I cannot create, I do not understand': elucidating microbe-microbe interactions to facilitate plant microbiome engineering. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **72**, 102283 (2023).
- 4. Vorholt, J. A., Vogel, C., Carlström, C. I. & Müller, D. B. Establishing causality: opportunities of synthetic communities for plant microbiome research. *Cell Host Microbe* **22**, 142–155 (2017).
- 5. Bai, Y. et al. Functional overlap of the *Arabidopsis* leaf and root microbiota. *Nature* **528**, 364–369 (2015).
- 6. Zhang, J. et al. High-throughput cultivation and identification of bacteria from the plant root microbiota. *Nat. Protoc.* **16**, 988–1012 (2021).
- Zhang, J. et al. NRT1.1B is associated with root microbiota composition and nitrogen use in field-grown rice. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 676–684 (2019).
- 8. Wippel, K. et al. Host preference and invasiveness of commensal bacteria in the *Lotus* and *Arabidopsis* root microbiota. *Nat. Microbiol.* **6**, 1150–1162 (2021).
- 9. Durán, P. et al. Microbial interkingdom interactions in roots promote *Arabidopsis* survival. *Cell* **175**, 973–983.e14 (2018).
- Robertson-Albertyn, S. et al. Genome-annotated bacterial collection of the barley rhizosphere microbiota. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 11, e01064-21 (2022).
- Durán, P. et al. Shared features and reciprocal complementation of the *Chlamydomonas* and *Arabidopsis* microbiota. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 406 (2022).
- 12. Kremer, J. M. et al. Peat-based gnotobiotic plant growth systems for *Arabidopsis* microbiome research. *Nat. Protoc.* **16**, 2450–2470 (2021).
- 13. Cole, B. J. et al. Genome-wide identification of bacterial plant colonization genes. *PLoS Biol.* **15**, e2002860 (2017).
- Wheatley, R. M. et al. Lifestyle adaptations of *Rhizobium* from rhizosphere to symbiosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 23823–23834 (2020).
- Levy, A. et al. Genomic features of bacterial adaptation to plants. Nat. Genet. 50, 138–150 (2018).
- 16. Schäfer, M. et al. Metabolic interaction models recapitulate leaf microbiota ecology. *Science* **381**, eadf5121 (2023).
- Sheth, R. U. et al. Spatial metagenomic characterization of microbial biogeography in the gut. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 877–883 (2019).
- 18. Lötstedt, B. et al. Spatial host-microbiome sequencing reveals niches in the mouse gut. *Nat. Biotech.* **42**, 1394–1403 (2024).
- Johnston, A. E. & Poulton, P. R. The importance of long-term experiments in agriculture: their management to ensure continued crop production and soil fertility; the Rothamsted experience. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 69, 113–125 (2018).
- 20. Pfeilmeier, S. et al. The plant NADPH oxidase RBOHD is required for microbiota homeostasis in leaves. *Nat. Microbiol.* **6**, 852–864 (2021).
- 21. Carlström, C. I. et al. Synthetic microbiota reveal priority effects and keystone strains in the *Arabidopsis* phyllosphere. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 1445–1454 (2019).

- Wood-Charlson, E. M. et al. The National Microbiome Data Collaborative: enabling microbiome science. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 18, 313–314 (2020).
- Beck, A. E., Kleiner, M. & Garrell, A.-K. Elucidating plant-microbeenvironment interactions through omics-enabled metabolic modelling using synthetic communities. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 910377 (2022).
- 24. Garrido-Oter, R. et al. Modular traits of the rhizobiales root microbiota and their evolutionary relationship with symbiotic rhizobia. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 155–167.e5 (2018).
- 25. Mukherjee, S. et al. Twenty-five years of Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD): data updates and new features in v.9. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D957–D963 (2023).
- 26. Venkataraman, M. et al. Synthetic biology toolbox for nitrogenfixing soil microbes. ACS Synth. Biol. **12**, 3623–3634 (2023).
- Salem, H. & Kaltenpoth, M. The Nagoya Protocol and its implications for microbiology. *Nat. Microbiol.* 8, 2234–2237 (2023).
- 28. Hitch, T. C. A. et al. Broad diversity of human gut bacteria accessible via a traceable strain deposition system. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.06.20.599854v1 (2024).
- 29. Ma, K.-W. et al. Coordination of microbe–host homeostasis by crosstalk with plant innate immunity. *Nat. Plants* **7**, 814–825 (2021).
- Niu, B., Paulson, J. N., Zheng, X. & Kolter, R. Simplified and representative bacterial community of maize roots. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, E2450–E2459 (2017).
- Mehlferber, E. et al. A cross-systems primer for synthetic microbial communities. *Nat. Microbiol.* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41564-024-01827-2 (2024).
- 32. Mueller, U. G. et al. Artificial selection on microbiomes to breed microbiomes that confer salt tolerance to plants. *mSystems* **6**, e01125-21 (2021).
- Batstone, R. T., O'Brien, A. M., Harrison, T. L. & Frederickson, M. E. Experimental evolution makes microbes more cooperative with their local host genotype. Science **370**, 476–478 (2020).
- 34. Li, E. et al. Rapid evolution of bacterial mutualism in the plant rhizosphere. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 3829 (2021).
- Ordon, J. et al. Chromosomal barcodes for simultaneous tracking of near-isogenic bacterial strains in plant microbiota. *Nat. Microbiol.* 9, 1117–1129 (2024).
- Daniel, B. B. J. et al. Assessing microbiome population dynamics using wild-type isogenic standardized hybrid (WISH)-tags. *Nat. Microbiol.* 9, 1103–1116 (2024).
- Sun, X. et al. Metabolic interactions affect the biomass of synthetic bacterial biofilm communities. *mSystems* 8, e01045-23 (2023).
- Tkacz, A., Hortala, M. & Poole, P. S. Absolute quantitation of microbiota abundance in environmental samples. *Microbiome* 6, 110 (2018).
- 39. Marín, O., González, B. & Poupin, M. J. From microbial dynamics to functionality in the rhizosphere: a systematic review of the opportunities with synthetic microbial communities. *Front. Plant Sci.* **12**, 650609 (2021).
- 40. Coker, J. et al. A reproducible and tunable synthetic soil microbial community provides new insights into microbial ecology. *mSystems* **7**, e00951-22 (2022).
- Parnell, J. J., Vintila, S., Tang, C., Wagner, M. R. & Kleiner, M. Evaluation of ready-to-use freezer stocks of a synthetic microbial community for maize root colonization. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 12, e02401–e02423 (2024).
- 42. Pacheco, A. R., Pauvert, C., Kishore, D. & Segrè, D. Toward FAIR representations of microbial interactions. *mSystems* **7**, e00659-22 (2022).

- Liu, S. et al. Opportunities and challenges of using metagenomic data to bring uncultured microbes into cultivation. *Microbiome* 10, 76 (2022).
- Cross, K. L. et al. Targeted isolation and cultivation of uncultivated bacteria by reverse genomics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 1314–1321 (2019).
- 45. Huang, Y. et al. High-throughput microbial culturomics using automation and machine learning. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **41**, 1424–1433 (2023).
- 46. Saarenpää, S. et al. Spatial metatranscriptomics resolves hostbacteria-fungi interactomes. *Nat. Biotech.* **42**, 1384–1393 (2024).
- 47. Moyne, O. et al. Guild and niche determination enable targeted alteration of the microbiome. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/biorxiv/early/2023/05/11/2023.05.11.540389.full.pdf (2023).
- 48. Cole, B. et al. Plant single-cell solutions for energy and the environment. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 962 (2021).
- Liu, Z. et al. Integrated single-nucleus and spatial transcriptomics captures transitional states in soybean nodule maturation. *Nat. Plants* 9, 515–524 (2023).
- Guimarães, N. M., Azevedo, N. F. & Almeida, C. in Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization (FISH) for Microbial Cells: Methods and Concepts (eds Azevedo, N. F. & Almeida, C.) 17–33 (Springer, 2021); https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1115-9_2
- Cao, Z. et al. Spatial profiling of microbial communities by sequential FISH with error-robust encoding. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 1477 (2023).
- 52. Ge, X. et al. SRS-FISH: a high-throughput platform linking microbiome metabolism to identity at the single-cell level. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **119**, e2203519119 (2022).
- 53. Vidal, A. et al. Linking 3D soil structure and plant-microbe-soil carbon transfer in the rhizosphere. *Front. Environ. Sci.* https://doi. org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00009 (2018).
- Salvato, F., Vintila, S., Finkel, O. M., Dangl, J. L. & Kleiner, M. Evaluation of protein extraction methods for metaproteomic analyses of root-associated microbes. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 35, 977–988 (2022).
- 55. Zhalnina, K. et al. Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3**, 470–480 (2018).
- 56. Finkel, O. M. et al. A single bacterial genus maintains root growth in a complex microbiome. *Nature* **587**, 103–108 (2020).
- Kleiner, M. et al. Ultra-sensitive isotope probing to quantify activity and substrate assimilation in microbiomes. *Microbiome* 11, 24 (2023).
- Nuccio, E. E. et al. HT-SIP: a semi-automated stable isotope probing pipeline identifies cross-kingdom interactions in the hyphosphere of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Microbiome* **10**, 199 (2022).
- Berry, D. et al. Tracking heavy water (D₂O) incorporation for identifying and sorting active microbial cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E194–E203 (2015).
- 60. Taylor, M. J., Lukowski, J. K. & Anderton, C. R. Spatially resolved mass spectrometry at the single cell: recent innovations in proteomics and metabolomics. *J. Am. Soc. Mass. Spectrom.* **32**, 872–894 (2021).
- 61. Shi, H. et al. Highly multiplexed spatial mapping of microbial communities. *Nature* **588**, 676–681 (2020).
- Veličković, D., Lin, V. S., Rivas, A., Anderton, C. R. & Moran, J. J. An approach for broad molecular imaging of the root-soil interface via indirect matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **146**, 107804 (2020).
- 63. Lohse, M. et al. Direct imaging of plant metabolites in the rhizosphere using laser desorption ionization ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry. *Front. Plant Sci.* **12**, 753812 (2021).

- 64. Hansen, B. L. et al. Cooperation, competition and specialized metabolism in a simplified root nodule microbiome. *mBio* **11**, e01917-20 (2020).
- 65. Ryffel, F. et al. Metabolic footprint of epiphytic bacteria on Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. ISME J. **10**, 632–643 (2016).
- 66. Geier, B. et al. Spatial metabolomics of in situ host-microbe interactions at the micrometre scale. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 498–510 (2020).
- 67. Piehowski, P. D. et al. Automated mass spectrometry imaging of over 2,000 proteins from tissue sections at 100-μm spatial resolution. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 8 (2020).
- Mellinger, A. L., Muddiman, D. C. & Gamcsik, M. P. Highlighting functional mass spectrometry imaging methods in bioanalysis. *J. Proteome Res.* 21, 1800–1807 (2022).
- 69. Mönchgesang, S. et al. Natural variation of root exudates in *Arabidopsis thaliana*-linking metabolomic and genomic data. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 29033 (2016).
- 70. Dell'Acqua, M. et al. Genetic properties of the MAGIC maize population: a new platform for high definition QTL mapping in *Zea mays. Genome Biol.* **16**, 167 (2015).
- Arkin, A. P. et al. KBase: The United States Department of Energy Systems Biology Knowledgebase. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 566–569 (2018).
- 72. Zhou, Z. et al. METABOLIC: high-throughput profiling of microbial genomes for functional traits, metabolism, biogeochemistry and community-scale functional networks. *Microbiome* **10**, 33 (2022).
- 73. Levy Karin, E., Mirdita, M. & Söding, J. MetaEuk—sensitive, high-throughput gene discovery, and annotation for large-scale eukaryotic metagenomics. *Microbiome* **8**, 48 (2020).
- Price, M. N. et al. Mutant phenotypes for thousands of bacterial genes of unknown function. *Nature* 557, 503–509 (2018).
- Luneau, J. S. et al. Genome-wide identification of fitness determinants in the *Xanthomonas campestris* bacterial pathogen during early stages of plant infection. *N. Phytol.* 236, 235–248 (2022).
- Mutalik, V. K. et al. Dual-barcoded shotgun expression library sequencing for high-throughput characterization of functional traits in bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 308 (2019).
- 77. Liu, X. et al. Genome-wide CRISPRi screens reveal the essentialome and determinants for susceptibility to dalbavancin in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *mSystems*. **9**, e01289–23 (2024).
- Peters, J. M. et al. A comprehensive, CRISPR-based functional analysis of essential genes in bacteria. *Cell* 165, 1493–1506 (2016).
- Fang, X., Lloyd, C. J. & Palsson, B. O. Reconstructing organisms in silico: genome-scale models and their emerging applications. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 18, 731–743 (2020).
- Zengler, K. et al. EcoFABs: advancing microbiome science through standardized fabricated ecosystems. *Nat. Methods* 16, 567–571 (2019).
- 81. Novak, V. et al. Reproducible growth of *Brachypodium distachyon* in fabricated ecosystems (EcoFAB 2.0) reveals that nitrogen form and starvation modulate root exudation. *Sci. Adv.* **10**, eadg7888 (2024).
- Sasse, J. et al. Multilab EcoFAB study shows highly reproducible physiology and depletion of soil metabolites by a model grass. *N. Phytol.* 222, 1149–1160 (2019).
- Yee, M. O. et al. Specialized plant growth chamber designs to study complex rhizosphere interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 625752 (2021).
- Del Valle, I., Gao, X., Ghezzehei, T. A., Silberg, J. J. & Masiello, C. A. Artificial soils reveal individual factor controls on microbial processes. *mSystems* 7, e00301–e00322 (2022).

- 85. McLaughlin, S., Zhalnina, K., Kosina, S., Northen, T. R. & Sasse, J. The core metabolome and root exudation dynamics of three phylogenetically distinct plant species. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1649 (2023).
- Dar, D., Dar, N., Cai, L. & Newman, D. K. Spatial transcriptomics of planktonic and sessile bacterial populations at single-cell resolution. *Science* 373, eabi4882 (2021).
- 87. Wei, L. et al. Imaging complex protein metabolism in live organisms by stimulated Raman scattering microscopy with isotope labeling. ACS Chem. Biol. **10**, 901–908 (2015).
- Hornby, D. Suppressive soils. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 21, 65–85 (1983).
- 89. Mendes, R. et al. Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for disease-suppressive bacteria. *Science* **332**, 1097–1100 (2011).
- Weller, D. M., Raaijmakers, J. M., Gardener, B. B. M. & Thomashow, L. S. Microbial populations responsible for specific soil suppressiveness to plant pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 40, 309–348 (2002).
- 91. Delmotte, N. et al. Community proteogenomics reveals insights into the physiology of phyllosphere bacteria. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 16428–16433 (2009).
- 92. Knief, C. et al. Metaproteogenomic analysis of microbial communities in the phyllosphere and rhizosphere of rice. *ISME J.*6, 1378–1390 (2012).
- 93. Lundberg, D. S. et al. Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome. *Nature* **488**, 86–90 (2012).
- 94. Bulgarelli, D. et al. Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature* **488**, 91–95 (2012).
- Peiffer, J. A. et al. Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 6548–6553 (2013).
- Horton, M. W. et al. Genome-wide association study of Arabidopsis thaliana leaf microbial community. Nat. Commun. 5, 5320 (2014).
- 97. Bodenhausen, N., Bortfeld-Miller, M., Ackermann, M. & Vorholt, J. A. A synthetic community approach reveals plant genotypes affecting the phyllosphere microbiota. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004283 (2014).
- Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., Van Themaat, E. V. L. & Schulze-Lefert, P. Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 807–838 (2013).
- 99. Pérez-Jaramillo, J. E. et al. Deciphering rhizosphere microbiome assembly of wild and modern common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in native and agricultural soils from Colombia. *Microbiome* **7**, 114 (2019).
- 100. Ji, N., Liang, D., Clark, L. V., Sacks, E. J. & Kent, A. D. Host genetic variation drives the differentiation in the ecological role of the native *Miscanthus* root-associated microbiome. *Microbiome* **11**, 216 (2023).
- He, X. et al. Heritable microbiome variation is correlated with source environment in locally adapted maize varieties. *Nat. Plants* 10, 598–617 (2024).
- Lebeis, S. L. et al. Salicylic acid modulates colonization of the root microbiome by specific bacterial taxa. Science **349**, 860–864 (2015).
- 103. Agler, M. T. et al. Microbial hub taxa link host and abiotic factors to plant microbiome variation. *PLoS Biol.* 14, e1002352 (2016).
- 104. Liu, X. et al. Phyllosphere microbiome induces host metabolic defence against rice false-smut disease. *Nat. Microbiol.* 8, 1419–1433 (2023).
- 105. Zhou, X. et al. Cross-kingdom synthetic microbiota supports tomato suppression of *Fusarium* wilt disease. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 7890 (2022).

- 106. Chen, T. et al. A plant genetic network for preventing dysbiosis in the phyllosphere. *Nature* **580**, 653–657 (2020).
- Oyserman, B. O. et al. Disentangling the genetic basis of rhizosphere microbiome assembly in tomato. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 3228 (2022).
- 108. Su, P. et al. Microbiome homeostasis on rice leaves is regulated by a precursor molecule of lignin biosynthesis. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 23 (2024).
- 109. Castrillo, G. et al. Root microbiota drive direct integration of phosphate stress and immunity. *Nature* **543**, 513–518 (2017).
- Harbort, C. J. et al. Root-secreted coumarins and the microbiota interact to improve iron nutrition in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell Host Microbe* 28, 825–837.e6 (2020).
- 111. Teixeira, P. J. P. L. et al. Specific modulation of the root immune system by a community of commensal bacteria. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2100678118 (2021).
- 112. Salas-González, I. et al. Coordination between microbiota and root endodermis supports plant mineral nutrient homeostasis. *Science* **371**, eabd0695 (2021).
- Xu, L. et al. Drought delays development of the sorghum root microbiome and enriches for monoderm bacteria. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E4284–E4293 (2018).
- 114. Wang, M. et al. Dynamic root microbiome sustains soybean productivity under unbalanced fertilization. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 1668 (2024).
- 115. Fitzpatrick, C. R. et al. Assembly and ecological function of the root microbiome across angiosperm plant species. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E1157–E1165 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge A. Deutchbauer, B. Cole, P. Turnbaugh and R. Ley for helpful comments. The Novo Nordisk Foundation is acknowledged for supporting the Plant-Microbe Interactions Conference that brought many of these authors together as part of a roundtable focused on SynComs. Work on SynComs in the laboratories of Baars, Kovács, Nicolaisen and Kleiner is supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation INTERACT project under award no. NNF19SA0059360. SynCom work in the Vorholt laboratory is supported by the NCCR Microbiomes (Swiss National Science Foundation (51NF40 180575) and the German Research Foundation (DECRvPT, SPP2125), G.L. acknowledges support from the Growing Health Institute Strategic Programme (BB/X010953/1; BBS/E/ RH/230003B). SynCom research in the Garrido-Oter laboratory is funded by the European Union (ERC, PHYCOSPHERES, 101077231), as well as the German Research Foundation under Germany's Excellence Strategy, EXC-Nummer 2048/1, project no. 390686111 (CEPLAS) and the '2125 DECRyPT' Priority Programme (SPP2125). M.T. and T.R.N. acknowledge support from m-CAFEs Microbial Community Analysis and Functional Evaluation in Soils programme, a Science Focus Area at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory funded by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research DE-AC02-05CH11231. T.R.N. also acknowledges support from the US DOE Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the US DOE operated under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. S.S. acknowledges support from the TATA Transformation prize in Food Security, and the Batch of 1980 Chair Professor position. J.A.F. acknowledges support from Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP; grant no. 2019/25720-2).

Author contributions

T.R.N. and R.G.-O. developed the idea for this Perspective based on discussions with all authors. T.R.N., R.G.-O., T.A., N.G., P.S.-L. and J.A.V. drafted the manuscript, which was subsequently refined through

contributions from M.K., M.T., Á.T.K., M.H.N., D.M.K., S.S., G.L., L.J., O.B., N.L.K., K.W., C.D., J.A.F., M.M. and A.P.

Competing interests

T.R.N. is an inventor on several patents held by the University of California related to devices for studying plant-microbe interactions. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence should be addressed to Trent R. Northen or Ruben Garrido-Oter.

Peer review information *Nature Microbiology* thanks Jos Raaijmakers and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

© Springer Nature Limited 2024