Six-Rowed Spike3 (VRS3) Is a Histone Demethylase That Controls Lateral Spikelet Development in Barley1[OPEN]
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The complex nature of crop genomes has long prohibited the efficient isolation of agronomically relevant genes. However, recent advances in next-generation sequencing technologies provide new ways to accelerate fine-mapping and gene isolation in crops. We used RNA sequencing of allelic six-rowed spike3 (vrs3) mutants with altered spikelet development for gene identification and functional analysis in barley (Hordeum vulgare). Variant calling in two allelic vrs3 mutants revealed that VRS3 encodes a putative histone Lys demethylase with a conserved zinc finger and Jumonji C and N domain. Sanger sequencing of this candidate gene in independent allelic vrs3 mutants revealed a series of mutations in conserved domains, thus confirming our candidate as the VRS3 gene and suggesting that the row type in barley is determined epigenetically. Global transcriptional profiling in developing shoot apical meristems of vrs3 suggested that VRS3 acts as a transcriptional activator of the row-type genes VRS1 (Ho.HOMEOBOX1) and INTERMEDIATE-C (INT-C; Ho.TEOSINTE BRANCHED1). Comparative transcriptome analysis of the row-type mutants vrs3, vrs4 (Ho.RAMOSA2), and int-c confirmed that all three genes act as transcriptional activators of VRS1 and quantitative variation in the expression levels of VRS1 in these mutants correlated with differences in the number of developed lateral spikelets. The identification of genes and pathways affecting seed number in small grain cereals will enable to further unravel the transcriptional networks controlling this important yield component.

Identification of genomic variation is crucial for unraveling the relationship between genotype and phenotype and provides important insights into the genetic basis of agronomic traits in crop plants. Many crop species, including wheat (Triticum aestivum) and barley (Hordeum vulgare), are characterized by large genomes with regions of reduced recombination. The isolation of genes underlying important agronomic traits is therefore difficult and time consuming. However, next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies and the generation of genomic reference sequences in these crops are providing new ways to accelerate the genetic analysis of traits. Whole-genome or targeted resequencing has been employed to aid in the fine mapping and identification of causal polymorphisms collectively termed as NGS-enabled genetics. Mapping by sequencing was first applied in the model species Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Schneeberger et al., 2009; James et al., 2013). This procedure is based on genome-wide resequencing of phenotypic bulks of mutant F2 individuals to fine-map the gene of interest. Mapping-by-sequencing based on whole-exome capture or RNA sequencing to reduce genome complexity has also been successfully applied for fine mapping in wheat and barley (Trick et al., 2012; Pankin et al., 2014; Liller et al., 2017). Although mapping by sequencing has proven successful to identify candidate genes, it still requires a substantial effort in generating and phenotyping large mapping populations. Furthermore, additional variation in the background may obscure the phenotypic effect of allelic variation at the target gene and mapping resolution may still be low in parts of the genome with low recombination.

An elegant method for gene identification without a segregating population is based on the analysis of multiple allelic mutants. Although the mutagenic treatment may affect many genes in a single genome, it is usually
only one gene or a very small set of genes that carry severe changes within all independent allelic mutants. Whole-genome sequencing of allelic mutants in Arabidopsis and rice (Oryza sativa) has successfully revealed candidate genes for the variant phenotype without any prior mapping (Nordström et al., 2013). To date, this approach has not been applied to the complex genomes of crops, presumably because of the lack of high-quality physical maps and the difficulty of distinguishing true allelic variants from homologous genes.

In barley, large collections of developmental and morphological mutants represent a valuable resource for gene identification and characterization (Druka et al., 2011). These have been generated by physical and chemical mutagenesis since the early 20th century to explore the potential of mutation breeding in crop improvement (Ahloowalia et al., 2004; Lundqvist, 2014). Primary mutants were induced or discovered in different cultivars, which after mutagenesis contained a different spectrum of background mutations. Therefore, many mutant loci were introgressed into the common genetic background Bowman by repeated back-crossing and phenotypic selection (Druka et al., 2011). This resulted in a large collection of introgression lines (ILs) in the cultivar (cv) Bowman with a relatively small genetic interval originating from the donor that contains the mutated locus. In addition, many of these mutant phenotypes were intercrossed to identify allelic mutant series for different morphological, developmental, and physiological traits. The collection has proven particularly valuable for studying the genetic control of plant and spike architecture (Druka et al., 2011; Koppolu et al., 2013; Liller et al., 2015).

In cereals, plant and spike architecture influence the number of seeds, one of the most important yield component traits. The spike of barley forms a triple spikelet meristem with one central spikelet meristem and two lateral spikelet meristems. The seeds on the barley spike can be arranged either in two or six rows. In the two-rowed spike, only the central spikelet is fertile, while in the six-rowed spike, all three spikelets give rise to seeds. The genes underlying the six-rowed spike (vrs) loci vrs1 and vrs4 have been identified as key inhibitors of lateral spikelet development, and their loss of function leads to the development of six-rowed spikes. VRS1 encodes a HD-ZIP transcription factor (Hv.Hox1); Komatsuda et al., 2007). VRS4 encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY transcription factor that is homologous to the maize (Zea mays) RAMOSA2 (RA2) and acts upstream of VRS1 (Koppolu et al., 2013). In addition, a number of row-type mutants display an intermediate spike (int) phenotype with varying two- or six-rowed patterns. These intermediate (int/vrs) mutants show enlarged lateral florets which may or may not develop into kernels, depending on the position on the spike and the environment (Lundqvist and Lundqvist, 1987, 1988b).

More than 130 intermediate mutants have been isolated, of which 60 were shown to be mutated in one of nine genes (Gustafsson and Lundqvist, 1980). The most frequent intermediate mutant is int-c, which has been identified as a barley homolog of TEOSINTE-BRANCHED1 (Ramsay et al., 2011), a TCP transcription factor and major domestication related gene affecting shoot branching in maize (Studer et al., 2011; Studer and Doebley, 2011). Double mutants of different int loci lead to typical six-rowed plants, suggesting that int genes and VR51 might interact in the same pathway (Lundqvist et al., 1988a, 1988b; Lundqvist and Lundqvist, 1988a). In a comprehensive analysis, we have classified 36 different row-type mutants based on their shoot and spike architecture (Liller et al., 2015). Allelic vrs3/int-a, int-c, vrs1, and vrs4 mutants and derived introgression lines showed comparable macroscopic phenotypes, such an increase in the number of seeds per spike and a reduction in tiller number at maturity compared to their two-rowed wild-type parents. Taken together, phenotypic studies have shown that int-a, int-c, vrs1, and vrs4 are genetically separate loci, which likely interact to control lateral spikelet development in barley. These row-type mutants now provide a valuable resource to identify the underlying mutations and investigate the molecular network controlling spikelet development and fertility. The causative genes and mutations for vrs1, vrs4, and int-c are known, while the gene underlying the vrs3 locus has so far not been identified.

We performed RNA sequencing of developing spike meristems in the allelic vrs3f/int-a.1 mutants, and in addition in vrs1, vrs4, and int-c, all intogressed into cv Bowman (Druka et al., 2011). We demonstrate that RNA sequencing of allelic and epistatic mutants provides a powerful method for simultaneous gene isolation and functional analysis in barley.

RESULTS

Variant Calling on RNA Sequencing Reads Reveals a Candidate Gene for the vrs3/int-a Mutation

Our first aim was to determine the size and location of the introgressions in the two independent ILs carrying the allelic vrs3f and int-a.1 mutations (Lundqvist et al., 1988a). Both mutants exhibit fertile lateral spikelets resulting in an increased number per spike when compared to cv Bowman (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S1), corroborating previous reports (Lundqvist and Lundqvist, 1988b; Koppolu et al., 2013; Liller et al., 2015). The development of additional lateral spikelets, which occurred at the middle part of the spike, was not associated with a significant change in racchis internode number (Supplemental Fig. S1, A and B).

We hypothesized that the causal gene is likely located within the introgressed regions common to both ILs. In addition, our objective was to identify the gene variants underlying the row-type phenotype (Fig. 1) in both allelic mutants. To accomplish this, we sequenced total RNA extracted from the main shoot apex (MSA) when the lemma and stamen primordium started to develop (Waddington stages W3.0–W3.5). At this stage, the first floral organ primordia differentiate and the stem
elongation is initiated. Moreover, initial differences between six-rowed vrs mutants and wild type are clearly visible (Koppolu et al., 2013). RNA sequencing was carried out in the ILs, the backcross recipient cv Bowman and cv Bonus, the parental line of int-a.1. Hakata2, the original parent of vrs3.f, was not available for sequencing. Reads obtained from RNA sequencing of the MSA tissue were mapped to a combined set of high confidence (HC) and low confidence (LC) predicted coding sequences of cv Morex (International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2012). The reads were mapped to the barley reference using Burrows Wheeler Aligner (BWA)-MEM (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012; Li, 2013) resulting in a mapping rate of 80%.

Mapping quality filtered reads obtained from BWA-MEM alignments were subjected to variant calling. After stringent filtering of putatively false positive single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), resulting variants of each mutant line were compared to variants obtained from cv Bowman. This comparison resulted in alleles specific to each mutant line and thus revealed the size, number, and position of the introgressions in the ILs. The mutant line vrs3.f contained three introgressions on chromosome 1H, 2H, and 4H, while the int-a.1 mutant carried four introgressions on chromosome 1H, 6H, and 7H (Fig. 2). We detected an overlap between the introgressions in vrs3.f and int-a.1 located on chromosome 1H in the interval of 35.69 cm to 52.55 cm. The region comprises 2075 HC and LC genes. This target interval is useful to delimit the number and position of possible candidate genes. However, the chromosomal position of genes may not be correct. We therefore considered all genetic differences between the ILs and the parental lines regardless of their genomic position. Polymorphisms shared between any of the two ILs and the parental lines regardless of their genomic position. Polymorphisms shared between any of the two ILs and Morex were excluded as candidates for the vrs3/int-a locus.

Several additional allelic variants of vrs3 are available, most of them originate from x-ray or neutron-induced mutagenesis screens. The candidate gene MLOC_69611.1 was resequenced in 19 independent vrs3 mutants, obtained from the Nordic Genome Resource Center (http://www.nordgen.org). The candidate gene MLOC_69611.1 contained an indel, causing a frame shift in five genotypes, six genotypes carried a premature stop codon, six genotypes contained a nonsynonymous SNP in or close to conserved domains, and two genotypes carried a nonsynonymous SNP.

**Figure 1.** Spike phenotype of vrs3 plants. A, The intermedium row-type phenotype of vrs3, which exhibits fertile lateral spikelets at the upper part of the spike. The awns were removed from the spike to visualize the differences between the mutant and wild type. B, In cv Bowman, only the central spikelet is developed; in the upper part of vrs3 the central and lateral spikelets give rise to seeds. C, vrs3(int-a) and vrs3.f mutants have a significantly increased seed number per spike when compared to the wild type. Significant differences were determined with a one-way ANOVA, P ≤ 0.01, n = 10 spikes.
close to an intron-exon junction (Fig. 3; Supplemental Table S1). This confirmed that MLOC_69611.1 is indeed the gene underlying the vrs3 mutant phenotype. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the MLOC_69611.1 protein shows the highest similarity to the evolutionary conserved JMJD2 group II of JmjC domain-containing proteins (Supplemental Fig. S3). The closest orthologs of MLOC_69611.1 are the Lys-specific demethylases JMJ13 (At5g46910) in Arabidopsis and JM J706 (Os10g42690) in rice (Supplemental Table S2). Furthermore, comparison of the JmjC domains revealed a 95.97% identity between VRS3 and Os.JMJ706 and an 83.87% identity between VRS3 and At.JMJ13 (Supplemental Table S2). Os.JMJ706 is mainly involved in the removal of a methyl group from the Lys 9 of the histone H3 protein (H3K9me), whereas At.JMJ13 has an H3K27me3 demethylase activity (Sun and Zhou, 2008; Crevillèn et al., 2014).
Comparative Transcriptional Profiling of vrs3, vrs1, vrs4, and int-c Mutants

Comparative phenotyping of spike architecture in vrs1, vrs3(int-a.1), vrs4.k, and int-c.5 revealed that these mutants showed quantitative differences in spikelet development. In vrs1 and vrs4.k plants, the spike was fully six-rowed, whereas in the vrs3 and int-c.5 mutants the lower third and upper parts of the spike were two-rowed and the middle of the spike appeared six-rowed (Supplemental Fig. S1). Moreover, both vrs3 and vrs4.k formed additional lateral spikelets, whereas vrs1 and int-c.5 did not. To explore the molecular basis of quantitative variation in spikelet development, we performed parallel transcriptional profiling of vrs1, vrs3, vrs4.k, and int-c.5 mutants in the Bowman background (Supplemental Fig. S2) at W3.5 and W5.0. At the stamen primordium stage (W3.5), the first floral organ primordia start to differentiate. The induction of floret primordia on the inflorescence continues until the awn primordium stage (W5.0). The mutations in vrs3(int-a.1) and int-c.5 are both characterized by a small deletion causing a frame shift in a histone demethylase and an ortholog of teosinte branched1 (TB1), respectively. The vrs4.k mutant carries a small deletion causing a nonsense mutation in Ra2; and the vrs1 mutant contains a nonsynonymous SNP in a homeobox (HOX) transcription factor (Komatsuda et al., 2007; Ramsay et al., 2011; Koppolu et al., 2013). We identified transcripts of 30,174 genes at W3.5 and W5.0 (Supplemental Table S3). When compared to cv Bowman, 146 differentially regulated transcripts (DRTs) were identified in vrs1, 414 in vrs4.k, 524 in vrs3(int-a.1), and 87 in int-c.5 (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S4). From the 524 DRTs affected in vrs3(int-a.1), 426 were down-regulated, while only 98 were significantly up-regulated (Supplemental Fig. S4). The high number of repressed versus induced DRTs suggests that VRS3 acts primarily as a transcriptional activator.

To assess whether any of the DRTs identified in vrs3(int-a.1) were also affected in vrs1, vrs4.k, or int-c.5 and vice versa, a hierarchical cluster analysis (Eisen et al., 1998) was performed. Hierarchical clustering of mutants based on all DRTs grouped vrs1, vrs3(int-a.1), and vrs4.k together, while int-c clustered separately (Fig. 4B). This indicated a high overlap in DRTs among vrs1, vrs3(int-a.1), and vrs4.k, while expression variation in int-c differed from the former. More than 50% of the genes differentially regulated in vrs1 were also affected in vrs4.k and vrs3(int-a.1) (Fig. 4A). Among the repressed DRTs in vrs1, vrs4.k, and vrs3(int-a.1), we detected several His kinases involved in cytokinin and abscisic acid signaling (Supplemental Tables S4 and S5). In addition, PIF helicases, involved in maintenance of genome stability were repressed in the vrs3(int-a.1), vrs1, and vrs4.k. Furthermore, an ortholog of the Jumonji N/C and zinc finger domain-containing protein: RELATIVE OF EARLY FLOWERING6 (REF6; MLOC_50345.1) was down-regulated in all three mutant genotypes. In Arabidopsis, REF6 acts as a positive regulator of flowering in a FLC-dependent pathway and may play a role in brassinosteroid signaling (Noh et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2008).

Table 1. Introgression overview of vrs3.f and int-a.1 mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introggression intervals</th>
<th>Chromosome Position (cM)</th>
<th>Chromosome Position (cM)</th>
<th>Chromosome Position (cM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vrs3.f</td>
<td></td>
<td>vrs3(int-a.1)</td>
<td>vrs3.f/vrs3(int-a.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1H 27.4 to 52.5</td>
<td>1H 35.7 to 52.6</td>
<td>1H 35.7 to 52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2H 90.4 to 97.8</td>
<td>1H 78.8 to 90.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4H 82.7 to 99.2</td>
<td>6H 92.3 to 101.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7H 115.4 to 137.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of genes in introgression: 2639

Unique nonsynonymous mutations in the ILs: 196

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome Position (cM)</th>
<th>Chromosome Position (cM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1H 82.7 to 99.2</td>
<td>1H 92.3 to 101.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7H 115.4 to 137.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. VRS3 is a putative histone demethylase with a Jumonji domain. Gray bars indicate the exons, and the conserved JmjN and JmjC domains are indicated in light blue and dark blue, respectively. The zinc finger (ZF) domain is indicated in green.

Figure 4A. VRS3 is a putative histone demethylase with a Jumonji domain. Gray bars indicate the exons, and the conserved JmjN and JmjC domains are indicated in light blue and dark blue, respectively. The zinc finger (ZF) domain is indicated in green.
was shared between \textit{vrs1}, \textit{vrs3}, and \textit{vrs4}. Finally, \textit{vrs3}, \textit{vrs4}, and \textit{int-c} were all characterized by a quantitative down-regulation of \textit{VRS1}.

\textbf{VRS1 and INT-C Transcripts Are Reduced in \textit{vrs3}}

RNA sequencing revealed that the transcript levels of \textit{VRS1} were lower in \textit{vrs3} mutant plants. To further explore the effect of \textit{VRS3} on \textit{VRS1}, \textit{INT-C}, and \textit{VRS4} expression, we performed quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) at different developmental stages from the initiation of spikelet primordia (W2.0) until early stages of pistil development (W6.0). In wild-type Bowman plants, the expression levels of \textit{VRS1}, \textit{VRS4}, and \textit{INT-C} were upregulated during development to a much higher extent in the wild type compared to the \textit{vrs3} mutant plants (Fig. 5, A–D). This suggested that \textit{VRS3} promoted the induction of \textit{VRS1}, \textit{VRS4}, and \textit{INT-C}. RNA sequencing further demonstrated that the transcript levels of \textit{VRS1} were lower in \textit{vrs4} and \textit{int-c} mutant plants. We, therefore, compared the effects of \textit{VRS3}, \textit{VRS4}, and \textit{INT-C} on the expression of \textit{VRS1} using qRT-PCR at the stamen and carpel primordium stages. Expression of \textit{VRS1} was reduced in \textit{vrs3}, \textit{vrs4}, and \textit{int-c} mutants compared to cv Bowman in both stages (Fig. 5E). However, \textit{VRS1} expression levels differed between mutants, the \textit{VRS1} transcript levels were lowest in the \textit{vrs4.k} mutant, followed by \textit{int-c.5}, \textit{vrs3 (int-a.1)}, and \textit{vrs3 (int-a.64)}, both in the background of cv Bonus and \textit{vrs3 (int-a.8)} in the background of cv Foma. Consequently, we showed that all three genes, \textit{VRS3}, \textit{VRS4}, and \textit{INT-C}, are positive regulators of \textit{VRS1} expression. Interestingly, \textit{INT-C} expression was, like \textit{VRS1}, reduced in both \textit{vrs4} and \textit{vrs3}, suggesting that these genes also act on \textit{INT-C} expression (Fig. 5F).

When \textit{VRS4} expression was analyzed in the different \textit{vrs} and \textit{int-c} mutants at W3.5 and W5.0, a significant reduction of \textit{VRS4} expression was only observed in \textit{vrs4.k} in the Bowman background (Supplemental Fig. S6A). This indicates that the effect of \textit{vrs} on \textit{VRS4} expression were not consistent across stages and genetic backgrounds. Furthermore, a small but significant increase in \textit{VRS3} expression levels was observed in the \textit{vrs4.k} mutant (Supplemental Fig. S6B).

\textit{VRS1} is known to determine the row type and its effect is modified by \textit{INT-C} (Ramsay et al., 2011). We therefore concluded that the quantitative down-regulation of \textit{VRS1} and \textit{INT-C} might be linked to the intermediate row-type phenotype in \textit{vrs3} mutants (Fig. 6).

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

Whole-genome sequencing of allelic variants has been employed to identify candidate genes without prior mapping in model systems such as Arabidopsis and rice with relatively small genomes (Nordström et al., 2013). Because of the genome size of barley, sequencing whole genomes in multiple mutants is not
practical due to the cost and the difficulty of interpreting the large datasets. Recently, a method was presented for gene isolation in allelic barley mutants based on flow sorting and sequencing of a single chromosome that carried a known gene for the *eciferum* mutation (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2016). In addition, two stem rust resistance genes, *Sr22* and *Sr45a*, were isolated in wheat using independent ethyl methane sulfonate induced suppressor lines and sequencing of libraries enriched for nucleotide binding and Leu-rich repeats (Steuernagel et al., 2016). These methods enabled the identification of induced mutations without the need for positional fine mapping but still required prior knowledge of the chromosome position (Sánchez-Martín et al., 2016) or of the type of gene affected (Steuernagel et al., 2016). In addition, these methods are technologically and...
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**Figure 6.** VRS1 expression levels affect lateral spikelet development. A, VRS1 is significantly down-regulated in all row-type mutants. Similarly, INT-C is significantly down-regulated in vrs3 and vrs4, indicating that the latter two act on INT-C expression. B, Top-down view on the triple spikelet. VRS1 expression levels determine lateral spikelet development; in the absence of VRS1 (vrs1 or vrs4 mutants) the spike is fully six-rowed. Intermediate mutants such as vrs3 and int-c.5 with partially developed spikelets exhibit reduced expression levels of VRS1 when compared to the two-rowed wild type, which does not develop lateral spikelets.

computationally demanding. We instead applied RNA sequencing as a cost-efficient and simple method for gene identification without the need for mapping. The availability of allelic introgression lines enabled us to significantly reduce the target interval and thereby reduced the number of possible candidate genes. However, for the identification of the causal gene and sequence variants, we considered all polymorphic genes regardless of their genomic position. This is important when the reference sequence contains errors. It also demonstrates that our method does not rely on the availability of introgression lines or any prior mapping information. The identification of the causative mutation, however, was only possible because the target gene was expressed in the sequenced tissue and the mutation was located in the transcribed part of the gene.

Through RNA and Sanger sequencing of allelic vrs3 mutants, we were able to identify the candidate gene underlying vrs3 as a putative histone Lys demethylase with a conserved zinc finger and Jumonji C and N domain. Methylation on the histone H3 Lys 4 and Lys 36 (H3K4 and H3K36, respectively) is often associated with actively transcribed genes, while methylation of H3K9, H3K27, and H4K20 is associated with repressed genes (Kooistra and Helin, 2012). Thus, removal of such methylation by JmjC domain-containing proteins can lead to both transcriptional silencing and activation, respectively. The targets of histone Lys demethylases are genes with diverse functions, which is likely the reason for various developmental phenotypes in JmjC-domain protein mutants. For example, the JmjC domain-containing proteins EARLY FLOWERING6 and REF6, are involved in flowering time control in Arabidopsis (Noh et al., 2004). Moreover, JmjC-mediated histone demethylation at the FLC locus at elevated temperature is known to prevent premature early flowering (Gan et al., 2014). In rice, the MJ703, a histone H3 Lys 4 (H3K4) demethylase, is required for stem elongation and transposon silencing (Chen et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2013). The barley VRS3 is similar to the MJJD2 group II of Lys demethylases and exhibits a high similarity to the rice MJ706, which encodes for a histone H3 Lys 9 di- and tri- (H3K9me2 and H3K9me3) demethylase (Sun and Zhou, 2008). The H3K9me2 and me3 are repressive modifications in heterochromatin and euchromatin contexts, respectively, (Liu et al., 2010), suggesting that MJ706 is necessary for the activation of specific genes (Sun and Zhou, 2008). This scenario may hold true also for VRS3, as suggested by our expression analysis of vrs3(int-a.1). Here, the group of down-regulated DRTs was about 4-fold higher when compared to the up-regulated DRTs. In the context of a putative VRS3 function, the “down-regulation” should be interpreted as absence of activation and “up-regulation” as lack of silencing in the mutant. This hypothesis was further supported by analysis of VRS1 and INT-C expression over development. The induction of both genes was strongly enhanced in the wild type compared to the vrs3 mutant plants. Thus, we suggest that VRS3 acts as a positive regulator of gene expression, possibly by erasing the repressive methylation at histones of its target genes. How many of the genes identified as misregulated by RNA sequencing are direct targets of VRS3 is currently unknown, but INT-C and VRS1 are the prime candidates, as they showed low transcript amounts in multiple vrs3 alleles, when compared to wild type and their mutants show similar floral phenotypes. This again leads to functional analogy with rice MJ706, which regulates floral organ development (Sun and Zhou, 2008). In barley, loss of VRS3 function results also in an alteration in floral organ number (Lundqvist and Lundqvist, 1988b). MJ706 affects the histone methylation on the degenerated hell1 locus, which encodes a putative lateral organ boundary domain transcription factor (Sun and Zhou, 2008). In our analysis, the LOB-domain transcription factor VSR4 was not consistently down-regulated in the vrs3 mutants. Vice versa, the VRS3 expression was not strongly affected in the vrs4 mutant. Previous studies have demonstrated that in vrs4 mutants, VRS1 is significantly down-regulated, placing VRS4 upstream of VRS1 (Komatsuda et al., 2007; Sakuma et al., 2013). Whereas expression of VRS1 was not detected in the
**VR53 Is a Histone Demethylase**

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Growth Conditions and Phenotyping**

Plants were sown in 96-cell growing trays using “Mini Tray” (Einheitserde) as soil. To equalize germination, the trays were kept in the dark at 4°C for 3 days, after which the seedlings were grown under long-day conditions (16 h, 22°C day; 8 h, 18°C night). The developmental stage of the MSA was determined according to the quantitative scale of Waddington et al. (1983). The quantitative scale by Waddington et al. (1983) is based on the progression of the most advanced floret primordium and carpel of the inflorescence. At the double-ridge stage (W1.5−2.0), the first spikelet primordium on the shoot apex emerges; this species a reproductive MSA. The first lemma primordium starts to develop at W3.0; at this stage the first differences between two-rowed and six-rowed vr53 mutants start to occur (Koppolu et al., 2013). The stem elongation and differentiation of the first floral organ primordia occurs at the stamen primordium stage (W3.5). The induction of floral organ primordia continues until the awn primordium stage, which is marked by W5.0.

**Plant Material**

For the RNA sequencing of the mutants, near-isogenic lines in Bowman background were used. All mutants and parental lines were obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (https://npgswd.ars-grin.gov/) or from the Nordic Gene Bank (http://www.nordgen.org/). For VR53, two mutants were sequenced: vr53 (GSH0 2056) and int-a.1 (GSH0 2055), both backcrossed into the cultivar (cv) Bowman. The vr53.f mutant originates from a gamma-ray-induced mutant in Hakata 2, and the vr53(int-a.1) mutant from an x-ray-induced mutant in cv Bonus. For comparison of vr53 with other row-type genes using RNA sequencing, vr51, vr54, and int-c mutants were used. The vr51 mutant (GSH0 1907), which is a naturally occurring variant in most six-rowed barleys (Druka et al., 2011), has a C1020 G, resulting in a non-synonymous F075L change. The gamma-ray-induced vr54.k mutant (GSH0 1986) has a C072 deletion, resulting in a nonsense mutation. The x-ray-induced int-c.3 mutant (GSH0 2003) carries a C882 deletion causing a frame shift. These lines were also used for qRT-PCR confirmation. In addition, the vr53 int-a.1 (NGB115419), int-a.64 (NGB115482), and int-a.8 (NGB115426) were used to verify down-regulation of VR51 and INT-C using qRT-PCR. The int-a.64 originates from an isopropyl methanesulfonate mutagenesis in cv Bonus, and int-a.8 is an x-ray-induced mutant in cv Foma. The stock numbers and mutagenic agents of the 19 different allelic vr53 lines used to verify the selected candidate gene were listed in Supplemental Table S1.

**RNA Isolation and Sample Preparation for RNA Sequencing**

RNA was isolated from tissue of the MSA harvested from plants grown under long day conditions (16 h, 22°C day; 8 h, 18°C night). MSA tissue was harvested at W3.0 to W3.5 for vr53.f and vr53(int-a.1). For vr51, vr53.k, vr3(int-a.1), and int-c.5, tissue was harvested at W3.5 and W5.0. The samples were harvested at the middle of the day, 6 h before the end of the light period. Before sampling, the developmental stage of the MSA was verified by dissecting three plants per genotype. For sampling the apex, leaves surrounding the MSA were removed manually, and the apex was cut using a microsurgical stab knife (5-mm blade at 15° [SBS72-1551]). Samples were collected in three individual biological replicates. For each biological replicate, at least 10 MSA were pooled. All MSA harvested for RNA extraction were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. For RNA isolation, the pooled MSA was ground, dissolved in 500 µl TRizol reagent (Invitrogen), and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Next, 100 µl of chloroform was added, and the sample was homogenized, incubated for 2 min, and centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C. After phase separation, isopropanol was added to the aqueous phase, which was subsequently incubated for 10 min at room temperature and further purified using an RNA easy Micro Kit (Qiagen). Before RNA sequencing, the residual DNA was removed using a DNA-free kit (Ambion), and the quality of the RNA was verified using a bioanalyzer (Agilent). The Illumina cDNA libraries were prepared according to the TruSeq RNA sample preparation (version 2; Illumina). A cbot (Illumina) was used for clonal sequence amplification and generation of sequence clusters. Single-end sequencing was performed using a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina) platform by multiplexing eight libraries, resulting in ~18 million reads per library. The initial quality control of the raw reads was performed using the FastQC software (version 0.10.1; https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The adapters and short reads were trimmed using the Trimmomatic platform and embedded within the trimmer pipeline (Grabherr et al., 2011; https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki). Using the following default criteria: phred 33, leading and trailing 3, sliding window 4/15, and a minimum read length of 36.

**Variant Calling of the RNA Sequencing Reads**

The obtained RNA sequencing reads were mapped to a combined set of IC and LC predicted coding sequences of barley (Hordeum vulgare) cv. Moex (BCG, 2012) with BWA-MEM (version 0.7.15; Li, 2013). To ensure a high mapping rate even if distantly related barley cultivars are used, a mismatch penalty of 3 was used. PicardTools (version 1.1.00; http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) CollectAlignmentSummaryMetrics was applied on resulting SAM files for
evaluation of mappings, and the number of reads mapped with good mapping quality scores (MAPQ > 1) were determined with SAMtools (version 1.1.3; Li et al., 2009). Mapping quality scores indicates the confidence of alignments and uniqueness of the mapping position in the reference with higher values indicating a higher mapping quality. SAM to BAM format conversion was performed with SAMTools, excluding read alignments with a relatively low MAPQ smaller than 1 to maximize the number of mapped reads. Read duplicates were removed with PicardTools MarkDuplicates, and INDEL realignment was performed with GATK (version 3.1-1; McKenna et al., 2010) IndelRealigner to reduce the number of false-positive SNP calls. Resulting alignments were subjected to variant calling with GATK UnifiedGenotyper with a minimum confidence threshold for calling of 30.0 and for emitting of called SNPs of 10.0. Predicted SNP candidates were filtered with GATK Variantfiltering with the following thresholds: FS > 30.0, QD < 2.0, MQRankSum < −12.5, ReadPosRankSum < −8.0. Filtered variants with a depth of coverage > 4 and a genotype quality > 30 were taken into consideration, and only homozygous SNPs were of interest in this study. Conserved domains were assigned to HC and LC genes using the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2015). The selected candidate was verified using Sanger sequencing on DNA obtained from 19 independent allelic vrs3/int-a mutants. The DNA was extracted from frozen leaf material using the QIAmp DNA BioSpin (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Fragments for Sanger sequencing were amplified using the primers enlisted in Supplemental Table S6.

Transcriptional Profiling

For transcriptome analysis, we used a combined set of HC and LC predicted coding sequences of barley cv Morex (International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2012) as reference. Alignment of the reads to the reference was done using BWA-MEM using the same settings as applied for the variant calling. Transcripts per million values were extracted from the BWA-aligned reads using Salmon (Patro et al., 2017). Transcripts with expression levels greater than three counts in three libraries were retained. Tables with raw and normalized transcripts per million values and expression levels are provided as supplemental tables (Supplemental Tables S4 and S5). Differentially regulated reads were called using the R bioconductor package Limma-voom using a Benjamini & Hochberg adjustment for multiple testing (false discovery rate) for calculation of the adjusted P values (FDR values; Ritchie et al., 2015). For expression analysis, an FDR value of 0.05 was used as initial cut-off value for the selection of DRTs. DRTs were adjusted for multiple testing (false discovery rate) for calculation of the adjusted P values (FDR values; Ritchie et al., 2015). For expression analysis, an FDR value of 0.05 was used as initial cut-off value for the selection of DRTs. DRTs were normalized against Hv.ACTIN (AY145451), which was used as control, were used as previously extracted from freeze-dried leaf material using the Qiagen BioSprint (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Fragments for Sanger sequencing were amplified using the primers enlisted in Supplemental Table S6.

Phylogenetic Analysis

The amino acid sequences of proteins annotated as JmjC domain-containing proteins from barley (H. vulgare), rice (Oryza sativa), and Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) were downloaded from the iTAK database 16.03 (Zeng et al., 2016). A multiple sequence alignment of the retrieved JmjC domain-containing proteins was performed using Clustalo with default parameters (Sievers et al., 2011). The resulting alignment was converted to Phylip format using Alter (Glez-Peña et al., 2010). RAxML 8.2.10 was used to generate a maximum likelihood tree with fixed base frequencies, the GAMMA model, and “autoMRE” as parameter to calculate the optimal number bootstrap repeats (Stamatakis et al., 2008). The automatically determined substitution model was chosen as the “VT” substitution model. The unrooted tree was visualized using Dendroscope 3 (Huson and Scornavacca, 2012), and classification into evolutionary conserved groups was manually performed according to Klose et al. (2006). The JmjC domains of the barley VRS3 protein, and the closest orthologs in rice (JMJ706) and Arabidopsis (JMJ13) were obtained from the NCBI conserved domain database (Marchler-Bauer and Bryant, 2004). Clustalo was used to align and to calculate the pairwise percent identity of the conserved JmjC domains of VRS3, Os,JMJ706, and At,JMJ13 with default settings (Sievers et al., 2011).

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Spike phenotypes of vrs3, vrs1, vrs4, and int-c in cv Bowman.

Supplemental Figure S2. Introggression locations of vrs1, vrs4, and int-c in cv Bowman.

Supplemental Figure S3. Phylogenetic relationship of proteins with JmjC domains.

Supplemental Figure S4. Differentially regulated transcripts in vrs1, vrs3, int-a.1, and int-c.5.

Supplemental Figure S5. Expression of VRS3 compared to INT-C and VRS1.

Supplemental Figure S6. Expression of VRS3 and VRS4 determined with qRT-PCR.

Supplemental Table S1. Variants identified in independent vrs3/int-a mutants.

Supplemental Table S2. Percentage identity matrix and alignment of the JmjC domains of VRS3, Os,JMJ706, and At,JMJ13.

Supplemental Table S3. All transcripts expressed at W3.5 and W5.0. (Supplemental Fig. S4). Hierarchical cluster analysis was done in R using Pearson correlation coefficients. The overrepresentation analysis of particular GO terms was performed using the R package TopGO (Alexa et al., 2006). All Venn diagrams were drawn using the R package VennDiagram (Chen and Boutros, 2011). Results obtained for VRS1(A2B59798.1), VRS3(MLOC_96613.1), and VRS4(KC854554), and INT-C(MLOC_70116.1) were verified by performing qRT-PCR using gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table S6). Primer sequences for Hv-ACTIN (AY145451), which was used as control, were used as previously described (Ejaz and von Korff, 2017). VRS4 primers were obtained from Koppolu et al. (2013). MSA tissue of cv Bowman and derived mutant lines vrs3 and vrs5 (int-a.1) were harvested at the Waddington stages W2.0, W3.5, W4.0, W5.0, and W6.0 to analyze changes in the expression of VRS1, VRS3, VRS4, and INT-C during development. Samples were collected in three individual biological replicates. For each biological replicate, at least 10 MSA were pooled. In addition, MSA tissue was harvested in two to three biological replicates at W3.0 to W3.5 and W5.0 for vrs1, vrs4, int-a.3, and vrs3/int-a.1 mutants in cv Bowman; vrs3(int-a.1) and vrs3(int-a.4) in cv Bonus; and vrs3(int-a.8) in Foma background. The samples were harvested at the middle of the day, 6 to 8 h before the end of the light period. Before sampling, the developmental stage of the MSA was verified by dissecting three plants per genotype. RT-PCR and cDNA synthesis was performed as previously described (Ejaz and von Korff, 2017). Quantification was based on the titration curve for each target gene and normalized against Hv-ACTIN as internal control using the LightCycler 480 Software (Roche; version 1.5.1). Statistical differences were either calculated with Student’s t test or a two-factorial ANOVA with genotype and stage as factors, followed by a post hoc Dunnett’s test for multiple comparison.
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