



Genetic Specification of floral organ identity

Initiating floral development

Deciding when to initiate flowering - induced mutations

- in Nature

Flower structure of Arabidopsis



In Arabidopsis:

Whorl 1	4 sepals
Whorl 2	4 petals
Whorl 3	6 stamens
Whorl 4	2 carpels

Why Arabidopsis ?

- short generation time, 8 weeks from seed to seed
- small, easily grown at high density in glasshouse or culture room
- diploid, small genome size, 125 Mb
- genome completely sequenced, 25,000 genes
- efficient transformation by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation
- many mutants described



Mutations that affect flower development placed in classes

Alter the organs formed in two adjacent whorls

A mutants : affect sepals and petals

B mutants : affect petals and stamens

C mutants : affect stamens and carpels

Antirrhinum





Specification of floral organ identity occurs in four circular domains called whorls and is controlled by three genetic functions called A,B and C



A function mutations affect flower development in Whorl 1 and 2

apetala2





apetala2



Wild-type

Sepals \longrightarrow carpelloid organs petals \longrightarrow Absent or stamenoid stamens \longrightarrow normal carpels \longrightarrow normal

B function mutations affect flower development in

Whorl 2 and 3

pistillata







Sepals \longrightarrow normal petals \longrightarrow Sepals or absent stamens \longrightarrow carpelloid carpels \longrightarrow normal

C function mutations affect flower development in Whorls 3 and 4





Wild-type

agamous



petals ----- normal

stamens — petals

carpels ------ Sepals and flower inside a flower

Analysis of mutant phenotypes suggested ABC model



A function - APETALA1, APETALA2 B function - APETALA3 PISTILLATA C function - AGAMOUS

Overlapping functions provide new identities A opposes C and C opposes A

Interpretation of mutant phenotypes according to ABC model

Wild type









Double mutants

pi ag double mutant / loss of B and C functionAll whorls are sepalsWild-typeMore whorlsA

ap2 pi double mutant / loss of A and B function

All whorls are carpels



ap2 ag double mutant / loss of A and C

Leaves in 1st and 4th whorl Modified petals/stamens in second and third More whorls

Interpretation of double mutant phenotypes according to ABC model

Wild type





ABC triple mutant phenotpe



ap2 pi ag triple mutant / loss of ABC function

All whorls leaf like



MADS box transcription factors play important roles in floral development

AP1, AP3, PI, AG are MADS box transcription factors

- M: MCM1 yeast
- A : Agamous
- **D** : Deficiens, Antirrhinum B function gene
- **S** : serum response factor, humans

AP2 - another class of transcription factor



MADS box TFs have been amplified in the plant lineage and AP2-like are plant specific

Genome sequencing programmes allow the number of transcription factors belonging to each family in different organisms to be counted

	MADS	AP2-like
Arabidopsis	82	138
Drosophila	2	0
C. Elegans	2	0
Yeast	4	0

Structure of plant MADS box transcription factors

MADS	Ι	K	С
------	---	---	---

MADS transcription factor

- I intervening region
- K protein-protein interactions
- C carboxy-terminal domain

Bind DNA through a conserved DNA sequence called CArG Box – $CC(A/T)_6GG$

Patterns of expression indicate that spatial control is regulated mainly at the level of transcription



Agamous C function Expressed in whorls 3 and 4 Apetala3 B function Expressed in whorls 2 and 3

Misexpression from the 35S viral promoter in transgenic plants supports proposed roles of B function genes

Wild type

35S::AP3 35S::PI

Wild type

35S::AP3 35S::PI

The A function gene AP2 is an exception: expressed in all whorls but only active in 1 and 2 whorl

Wild type

Regulation of Floral organ identity gene expression

AP1 (A function) misexpression in ag (C function) mutant Wild type

AP1 mRNA in Wild-type plants Only in whorl 1 and 2

AP1 mRNA in ag mutant plants In all whorls

Further additions to the ABC model : SUPERMAN

SUPERMAN is expressed at the boundary between the third and fourth whorl

Stage 4

SUP encodes a likely zinc-finger DNA-binding protein containing Cys2 His2 type zinc fingers and a Serine/proline rich activation domain.

ABC model Summary

Wild type

sep pet stam carp

Web site

- this presentation

WEB ADDRESS:

- PDFs

Developmental Cell, Vol. 2, 135-142, February, 2002, Copyright ©2002 by Cell Press

Building Beauty: The Genetic Control of Floral Patterning

Review

Jan U. Lohmann¹ and Detlef Weigel^{1,2,3} ¹Plant Biology Laboratory The Salk Institute for Biological Studies La Jolla, California 92037 ²Department of Molecular Biology Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology 72076 Tübingen Germany

Floral organ identity is controlled by combinatorial action of homeotic genes expressed in different territories within the emerging flower. This review discusses recent progress in our understanding of floral homeotic genes, with an emphasis on how their region-specific expression is regulated. about the mechanisms underlying this process. Because at this point there is a very large number of original publications in this field, we have cited reviews for most of the work published before the mid-1990s.

The ABCs of Flower Development

Contemporary work on floral patterning began with the study of a series of mutants in which floral organs develop normally, but in the inappropriate whorl. Such mutants had been collected from garden snapdragon, *Antirrhinum majus*, by Hans Stubbe, and from the mustard relative *Arabidopsis thaliana* by Maarten Koornneef. In the late 1980s, three groups, headed by Enrico Coen in the United Kingdom, Elliot Meyerowitz in the United States, and Heinz Saedler in Germany, recognized the value of these mutants as homeotic mutants, and used them to initiate molecular and genetic studies of floral

www.mpiz-koeln.mpg.de

Forschung

Abt. Entwicklungsbiologie de Pflanzen

George Coupland