- How functions are combined within the ABC model

-How are flowers initially formed and ABC function gene expression induced ?

# Two proteins (AP3 and PI) are required for B function How do they act together?

Wild type B=AP3 and PI



sep pet stam carp



**Co-expression of AP3 and PI is required for nuclear localisation Shown using translational fusions to the GUS marker protein** 



APETALA2 is a class A gene required for whorl 1 and 2, but is expressed in all whorls. How is AP2 activity restricted to whorls 1 and 2?





Wild type



# Arabidopsis microRNA172 has homology to the AP2 gene



microRNAs repress gene activity by interacting with the mRNAs of target genes either repressing translation or causing degradation of the mRNA



# Expression of mutant forms of MIR172 from a viral promoter causes an ap2 mutant phenotype

# WT *ap2* mutant 35S::MIR172



# **Expression of MIR172 at high levels in all cells prevents AP2 function**

Expression of a mutant form of AP2 mRNA that has reduced homology to MIR172 causes increased petal number and more floral whorls



Α

150 nucleotides AP2 protein 5' gca gcu gcu uce ucu ggu uuc ucu 3' AP2m3 RNA 5' gca gcu gcu uco uca ggu uua ucu 3' AP2m1 RNA 5' gcu gca gca uca uca gga uuc ucu 3' wild-type AP2 RNA miRNA172a-1,-2 3' ua cgu cgu agu agu ucu aag a 5' miRNA172b-1,-2 3' ga cgu cgu agu agu ucu aag a 5' miRNA172c 3' ua cgu cgu agu agu ucu aag g 5'

# MIR172 is expressed only in the inner whorls in older floral primordia and reduces AP2 protein levels

Floral meristems Older, Stage 7 flower







PEPC Control protein AP2 protein

1.0 2.6 1.2 2.8 1.1 3.1 3.1

MIR172 restricts AP2 activity to the 1 and 2 whorl so that AG expression is prevented in these whorls but can occur in whorls 3 and 4

Wild type

35S::MIR172



35S::AP2\* not recognised by MIR172



# Misexpression of B or A and B in leaves is not sufficient to convert leaves to petals



Suggests another floral-specific factor is absent in the leaves.

This was not identified by initial genetic screens



# More MADS box genes expressed in the flower

Initially identified as homologues of AG – AGL2, AGL4, AGL9

Renamed sepallata 1,2,3

Specific expression patterns – in whorls 2,3,4, although AGL2 and AGL9 are also B-Function expressed in whorl 1 of younger flowers.

Genes in red from Antirrhinum Genes in blue from Arabidopsis

# Inactivation of SEP1 SEP2 and SEP3 in triple mutants



Were not identified in Original mutant screens Because of redundancy Between proteins.



sep1 sep2 sep3



# **Misexpression of SEP1 AP1 PI and AP3 in leaves creates petals**



Plants carrying combination of 35S::AP1 35S::SEP2 35S::PI 35S::AP3

**Therefore a combination of AP1 PI AP3 SEP is sufficient To confer B function**.

#### (a) Two hybrid stategy



**Test for protein-protein Interactions in yeast.** 

Do the MADS box proteins form higher order protein complexes?

# SEP proteins mediate multimeric complexes between PI/AP3 and AG or between PI/AP3 and AP1



SEP genes also provide activation domains



Model explains how A function (AP1) and B function (AP3/PI) Combine to specify the second whorl – petals.



# Similar multimeric complexes of MADS box proteins are proposed to specifiy the other whorls



# SEP proteins mediate multimeric complexes between PI/AP3 and AG or between PI/AP3 and AP1

Wild type



Formation of multimeric complexes suggests mechanism for combining A/B and B/C functions within the ABC model.

## Flowers develop from stem cells called the shoot apical meristem





# The FLORICAULA/LEAFY genes confer floral identity on primordia



#### flo mutant





LFY expression LEAFY is sufficient to confer floral identity on developing primordia when expressed from a viral promoter



# 35S::LFY plants



#### Wild-type



#### **LEAFY** is a plant-specific gene

No homologues in animal cells

A single copy gene in Arabidopsis

No protein domains suggesting a biochemical function for the protein.

# LEAFY activates AGAMOUS through a short enhancer in an intron

leafy



#### Hyperactive LFY





# wuschel mutants have fewer 3rd whorl organs and no 4th whorl organs; they show the opposite phenotype to agamous mutants WT wuschel WT agamous









agamous

# Both LEAFY and WUSCHEL bind to the AG promoter, and they activate transcription co-operatively in yeast cells



In WT plants *WUSCHEL* expression is only detected in young floral primordia, but persists for longer in *agamous* mutants



Wild-type flower WUS not detected in older flower (stage 6)

agamous flower WUS still detected in stage 10 flower

AGAMOUS is required to repress WUSCHEL

# A negative feed-back loop regulates WUSCHEL expression in the flower meristem



Therefore in an agamous mutant wuschel activity would be increased and would persist for longer. This may explain why agamous mutant flowers do not stop after 4 whorls.

In wuschel mutants no AG expression and no WUS expression, so no 4th whorl development.



Wild-typeAP3::WUSweakstrong

In support of this, expression of WUSCHEL from the AP3 promoter causes extra whorls to develop.

## Diagram of floral development from the initial patterning of the primordium to development of floral organs



# On the web site

# **WEB ADDRESS:**

#### - This presentation

 Beyond the ABCs: ternary complex formation in the control of floral organ identity

Marcos Egea Gutierrez-Cortines and Brendan Davies

The production of a flower requires several events to occur. A floral meristem must form, boundaries must be set to enable discrete primordia to arise and the primordia must adopt the correct organ identity. Homeotic mutants, whose organs adopt inappropriate identities for their position within the flower, have helped the construction of a simple combinatorial model to explain how floral organ identity is defined. However, recent experiments suggest that the regulation of floral organ identity is more complex than was previously apparent. The simple interactions are becoming more complex and the universal applicability of the model less clear.

#### www.mpiz-koeln.mpg.de

## Forschung

# Abt. Entwicklungsbiologie de Pflanzen

Cell, Vol. 105, 805-814, June 15, 2001, Copyright ©2001 by Cell Press

#### Termination of Stem Cell Maintenance in *Arabidopsis* Floral Meristems by Interactions between *WUSCHEL* and *AGAMOUS*

Michael Lenhard,<sup>2</sup> Andrea Bohnert,<sup>2</sup> Gerd Jürgens, and Thomas Laux<sup>12</sup> Universität Tübingen ZMBP–Entwicklungsgenetik Auf der Morgenstelle 3 D-72076 Tübingen Germany as a repressor of *WUS*, whose loss of function results in an enlarged *WUS* expression domain and an increase in stem cell number. These results suggest that the size of the stem cell population in the SAM and floral meristems is regulated by a negative feedback loop between the *WUS*-expressing cells of the organizing center and the *CLV3*-expressing stem cells (Brand et al., 2000; Schoof et al., 2000).

The differences between the SAM and floral meri-

# **George Coupland**